

DFG-Schwerpunktprogramm 1324

„Extraktion quantifizierbarer Information aus komplexen Systemen“

On the Backward Euler Approximation of the Stochastic Allen-Chan Equation

M. Kovács, S. Larsson, F. Lindgren

Preprint 154



Edited by

AG Numerik/Optimierung
Fachbereich 12 - Mathematik und Informatik
Philipps-Universität Marburg
Hans-Meerwein-Str.
35032 Marburg

DFG-Schwerpunktprogramm 1324

„Extraktion quantifizierbarer Information aus komplexen Systemen“

On the Backward Euler Approximation of the Stochastic Allen-Chan Equation

M. Kovács, S. Larsson, F. Lindgren

Preprint 154



The consecutive numbering of the publications is determined by their chronological order.

The aim of this preprint series is to make new research rapidly available for scientific discussion. Therefore, the responsibility for the contents is solely due to the authors. The publications will be distributed by the authors.

ON THE BACKWARD EULER APPROXIMATION OF THE STOCHASTIC ALLEN-CAHN EQUATION

MIHÁLY KOVÁCS, STIG LARSSON, AND FREDRIK LINDGREN

ABSTRACT. We consider the stochastic Allen-Cahn equation perturbed by smooth additive Gaussian noise in a spatial domain with smooth boundary in dimension $d \leq 3$, and study the semidiscretization in time of the equation by an implicit Euler method. We show that the method converges pathwise with a rate $O(\Delta t^\gamma)$ for any $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$. We also prove that the scheme converges uniformly in the strong L^p -sense but with no rate given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \leq 3$, be a spatial domain with smooth boundary $\partial\mathcal{D}$ and consider the stochastic partial differential equation written in the abstract Itô form

$$(1.1) \quad du + Au \, dt + f(u) \, dt = dW, \quad t \in (0, T]; \quad u(0) = u_0,$$

where $\{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is an $L^2(\mathcal{D})$ -valued Q -Wiener process on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0})$ with respect to the normal filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. We use the notation $H = L^2(\mathcal{D})$ with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and induced norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $V = H_0^1(\mathcal{D})$. Moreover, $A: V \rightarrow V'$ denotes the linear elliptic operator $Au = -\nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla u)$ for $u \in V$, where $\kappa(x) > \kappa_0 > 0$ is smooth. As usual we consider the bilinear form $a: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $a(u, v) = (Au, v)$ for $u, v \in V$, and (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the duality pairing of V' and V . We denote by $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ the analytic semigroup in H generated by the realization of $-A$ in H with $D(A) = H^2(\mathcal{D}) \cap H_0^1(\mathcal{D})$. Finally, $f: \mathcal{D}_f \subset H \rightarrow H$ is given by $(f(u))(x) = F'(u(x))$, where $F(s) = c(s^2 - \beta^2)^2$ ($c > 0$) is a double well potential. Note that f is only locally Lipschitz and does not satisfy a linear growth condition. It does, however, satisfy a global one-sided Lipschitz condition, which is a key property for proving uniform moment bounds.

We consider a fully implicit Backward Euler discretization of (1.1) via the iteration

$$(1.2) \quad u^j - u^{j-1} + \Delta t Au^j + \Delta t f(u^j) = \Delta W^j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N; \quad u^0 = u_0,$$

where $\Delta t > 0$. Note that this scheme is implicit also in the drift term f . In return, the scheme preserves key qualitative aspects of the solution of (1.1) such as moment bounds.

The following two results constitute the main results of the paper. For notation we refer to Section 2. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $T = N\Delta t$ and $t_n = n\Delta t$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$. In Theorem 5.3 (pathwise convergence) we show that if $\|A^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}} < \infty$ for some

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65M60, 60H15, 60H35, 65C30.

Key words and phrases. stochastic partial differential equation, Allen-Cahn equation, additive noise, Wiener process, Euler method, pathwise convergence, strong convergence, factorization method.

small $\varepsilon > 0$, $\mathbb{E}\|u_0\|_1^2 < \infty$, and $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$, then there are finite random variables $K \geq 0$ and $\Delta t_0 > 0$ such that, almost surely,

$$\sup_{t_n \in [0, T]} \|u(t_n) - u^n\| \leq K \Delta t^\gamma, \quad \Delta t \leq \Delta t_0.$$

In Theorem 5.4 (strong convergence) we prove that if $p \geq 1$ and $\mathbb{E}\|u_0\|_1^{2p} < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t_n \in [0, T]} \|u(t_n) - u^n\|^p = 0.$$

Since the method of proof uses a priori bounds obtained via energy arguments together with a pathwise error analysis based on the mild formulation of the equation, a strong rate cannot be obtained via this line of argument. We would like to point out that the strong convergence of the Backward Euler scheme is somewhat surprising given the superlinearly growing character of f , see also the discussion in [12]. We do not know of any results where strong convergence results, with or without rates, are obtained for a time-discretization scheme for an SPDE with non-global Lipschitz nonlinearity without linear growth (for SODEs, we refer to [11]). There are many results on pathwise and strong convergence of the Backward Euler scheme (usually explicit in the drift term f) under global Lipschitz conditions (or local Lipschitz with linear growth conditions), see, for example, [4, 8, 9, 10] and the references therein. For non-global Lipschitz nonlinearities the relatively recent method developed in [12] uses a scheme which is based on the mild formulation of the SPDE. This is also employed, for example, in [2]. In that setting pathwise error estimates are derived but strong convergence results would be rather difficult to obtain as the method loses the information about the one-sided Lipschitz condition on f , which can only be exploited in a variational or weak solution approach. We also mention [23] where convergence in probability is obtained without global Lipschitz conditions for the Backward Euler scheme.

Spatial pathwise convergence results for certain semilinear SPDEs with non-global Lipschitz f without linear growth are obtained in [1, 2], both using spectral Galerkin approximation. Concerning spatial strong convergence we only know of [17] and [24], both with rates, based on a spectral Galerkin method and a finite difference method, respectively. In the latter two papers the authors use energy type arguments, and hence they can fully exploit the one-sided Lipschitz character of f .

Finally, we would like to note that (1.2) is also referred to as Rothe's method. Since we can prove both pathwise and strong convergence, one can set up a nonlinear wavelet-based adaptive algorithm to solve the elliptic equation in each time-step and obtain an implementable scheme, which converges both path-wise and strongly in a similar way as in [3] and [15].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect frequently used results from infinite dimensional analysis and introduce some notation. In Section 3 we discuss the spatial Sobolev regularity of the solution and the Hölder regularity in time. In Section 4 we prove maximal type p -th moment bounds on u^n (Propositions 4.1 and 4.2), which are in fact the exact analogues of the ones on $u(t)$ (Proposition 3.1). Here we highlight that for $p = 2$ the bounds only grow linearly in T , while for $p > 2$ exponentially because of a Gronwall argument. In Section 5 we state and prove the main results of the paper on the convergence of (1.2). An important part

of the proof is a maximal type error estimate for the linear part (Proposition 5.1), where we employ a discrete version of the celebrated factorization method.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper we will use various norms for linear operators on a Hilbert space. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(H)$, the space of bounded linear operators on H with the usual operator norm denoted by $\|\cdot\|$. If for a positive semidefinite operator $T: H \rightarrow H$, the sum

$$\operatorname{Tr} T := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle T e_k, e_k \rangle < \infty$$

for an orthonormal basis (ONB) $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of H , then we say that T is trace-class. In this case $\operatorname{Tr} T$, the trace of T , is independent of the choice of the ONB. If for an operator $T: H \rightarrow H$, the sum

$$\|T\|_{\text{HS}}^2 := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|T e_k\|^2 < \infty$$

for an ONB $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of H , then we say that T is Hilbert-Schmidt and call $\|T\|_{\text{HS}}$ the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of T . The Hilbert-Schmidt norm of T is independent of the choice of the ONB. We have the following well-known properties of the trace and Hilbert-Schmidt norms, see, for example, [6, Appendix C],

$$(2.1) \quad \|T\| \leq \|T\|_{\text{HS}}, \quad \|TS\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \|T\|_{\text{HS}} \|S\|, \quad \|ST\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \|S\| \|T\|_{\text{HS}},$$

$$(2.2) \quad \operatorname{Tr} Q = \|Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \|T\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \|T^*\|_{\text{HS}}^2, \quad \text{if } Q = TT^*.$$

Next, we introduce fractional order spaces and norms. It is well known that our assumptions on A and on the spatial domain \mathcal{D} imply the existence of a sequence of nondecreasing positive real numbers $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ and an orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ of H such that

$$A e_k = \lambda_k e_k, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_k = +\infty.$$

Using the spectral functional calculus for A we introduce the fractional powers A^s , $s \in \mathbb{R}$, of A as

$$A^s v = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^s \langle v, e_k \rangle e_k, \quad D(A^s) = \left\{ v \in H : \|A^s v\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{2s} \langle v, e_k \rangle^2 < \infty \right\}$$

and spaces $\dot{H}^\beta = D(A^{\beta/2})$ with inner product $\langle u, v \rangle_\beta = \langle A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} u, A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} v \rangle$ and induced norms $\|v\|_\beta = \|A^{\beta/2} v\|$. It is well-known that if $0 \leq \beta < 1/2$, then $\dot{H}^\beta = H^\beta$ and if $1/2 < \beta \leq 2$, then $\dot{H}^\beta = \{u \in H^\beta : u|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = 0\}$, where H^β denotes the standard Sobolev space of order β .

We recall the fact that the semigroup $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ generated by $-A$ is analytic and therefore it follows from [21, Theorem 6.13] that for $t > s > 0$,

$$(2.3) \quad \|A^\beta E(t)v\| \leq C t^{-\beta} \|v\|, \quad \beta \geq 0,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \|A^\beta (E(t) - E(s))v\| \leq C s^{-(\beta+\gamma)} |t-s|^{\gamma+\rho} \|A^\rho v\|, \quad \beta \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \gamma + \rho \leq 1.$$

We will also use Itô's Isometry and the Burkholder-Davies-Gundy inequality for Itô-integrals of the form $\int_0^t \langle \eta(s), d\tilde{W}(s) \rangle$, where \tilde{W} is a \tilde{Q} -Wiener process. For this

kind of integral, Itô's Isometry, [6, Proposition 4.5] reads as

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t \langle \eta(s), d\tilde{W}(s) \rangle \right|^2 = \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta(s)\|^2 ds,$$

and the Burkholder-Davies-Gundy inequality, [6, Lemma 7.2], takes the form

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} \left| \int_0^t \langle \eta(s), d\tilde{W}(s) \rangle \right|^p \leq C_p \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^{t_0} \|\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad p \geq 2.$$

Finally, if Y is an H -valued Gaussian random variable with covariance operator \tilde{Q} , then, by [6, Corollary 2.17], we can bound its p -th moments via its covariance operator as

$$(2.7) \quad \mathbb{E} \|Y\|^{2p} \leq C_p (\mathbb{E} \|Y\|^2)^p = C_p (\text{Tr } \tilde{Q})^p = \|\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^{2p}.$$

3. REGULARITY OF THE SOLUTION

The following existence, uniqueness, and regularity result can essentially be found in [19, Example 3.5] for $\mathcal{D} = [0, 1]$, where it is stated with ess sup instead of sup for the second term in (3.1). It is remarked there, [19, Remark 3.4], that the result can be proved in higher dimensions by using [20, Example 3.2], where domains with smooth boundary are considered. Finally, by [18, Theorem 1.1], the ess sup can be replaced by sup in the second term as stated below in (3.1). We also note that for the equation considered in this paper, this result can be obtained by using the deterministic Ljapunov functional $J(u) = \|\nabla u\|_1^2 + \int_{\mathcal{D}} F(u) dx$ and Itô's formula in a way analogous to [14, Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2], see also [5].

For the definition of *variational solution* we refer to [22, Definition 4.2.1].

Proposition 3.1. *If $\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}} < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E} \|u_0\|_1^p < \infty$ for some $p \geq 2$, then there is a unique variational solution u of (1.1). Furthermore, there is $C_T > 0$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|^p + \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_1^p \leq C_T.$$

In this case, u is also a mild solution, see [22, Proposition F.0.5 and Remark F.0.6]; that is, u satisfies the integral equation

$$(3.2) \quad u(t) = E(t)u_0 + \int_0^t E(t-s)f(u(s)) ds + W_A(t), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

almost surely, where the stochastic convolution W_A is defined by

$$W_A(t) = \int_0^t E(t-s) dW(s).$$

This ultimately follows from the fact that the noise is additive trace class and that, by Sobolev's inequality,

$$(3.3) \quad \|f(u(t))\| \leq C(\|u(t)\| + \|u(t)\|_{L^6}^3) \leq C(\|u(t)\| + \|u(t)\|_1^3),$$

which is bounded almost surely for $t \in [0, T]$ by Proposition 3.1. Note that here, in order to be able to use Sobolev's inequality, it is crucial that $d \leq 3$ and that the nonlinearity f is at most cubic.

Next we look at the pathwise Hölder regularity of u . First we consider the stochastic convolution W_A .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $\|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}} < \infty$ and $p > \frac{2}{\beta}$. Then, there is a nonnegative real random variable K with $\mathbb{E}K^p < \infty$ such that, almost surely,*

$$\sup_{t \neq s \in [0, T]} \frac{\|W_A(t) - W_A(s)\|}{|t - s|^\gamma} \leq K \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \gamma < \frac{\frac{\beta p}{2} - 1}{p}.$$

Proof. Let $t > s \geq 0$. Note that the stochastic integrals below are Gaussian random variables and hence we can use (2.7) to bound their p -th moments. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|W_A(t) - W_A(s)\|^p &\leq C_p \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_s^t E(t - \sigma) dW(\sigma) \right\|^p \\ &\quad + C_p \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^s E(t - \sigma) - E(s - \sigma) dW(\sigma) \right\|^p \\ &\leq C_p \left(\int_s^t \|E(t - \sigma)Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 d\sigma \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\quad + C_p \left(\int_0^s \|E(t - \sigma) - E(s - \sigma)Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 d\sigma \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq C|t - s|^{\frac{\beta p}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is shown in the proof of [15, Theorem 4.2]. Then the statement follows from Kolmogorov's criterion, see, for example, [16, Theorem 1.4.1]. \square

With the above preparations, we now prove the Hölder continuity of u . Note that the result is suboptimal compared to the corresponding result for W_A in Lemma 3.2, which requires only $\beta = 1$ to get the same Hölder exponent, while here we assume $\beta = 2$. This is a consequence of the fact that we use the mild formulation here and hence cannot exploit the one-sided Lipschitz condition on f but only its cubic growth.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}} < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}\|u_0\|_1^2 < \infty$ and $T > 0$. Then, for all $\gamma \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, there is a finite nonnegative random variable K such that, almost surely,*

$$\sup_{t \neq s \in [0, T]} \frac{\|u(t) - u(s)\|}{|t - s|^\gamma} \leq K.$$

Proof. Let $T > 0$, $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, and $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$. We use the mild formulation (3.2) to represent $u(t) - u(s)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) - u(s) &= (E(t) - E(s))u_0 + \int_s^t E(t - r)f(u(r)) dr \\ &\quad + \int_0^s (E(t - r) - E(s - r))f(u(r)) dr + W_A(t) - W_A(s). \end{aligned}$$

The estimate in (2.4), with $\beta = \gamma = 0$ and $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$, implies that $\|(E(t) - E(s))u_0\| \leq C|t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u_0\|_1$. The second term can be bounded, using Proposition 3.1 and (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_s^t E(t - r)f(u(r)) \right\| &\leq \int_s^t \|E(t - r)\| \|f(u(r))\| dr \\ &\leq C|t - s| \sup_{r \in [0, T]} (\|u(r)\| + \|u(r)\|_1^3) \leq K|t - s|. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar fashion, using this time (2.4) with $\beta = \rho = 0$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^s (E(t-r) - E(s-r))f(u(r)) \, dr \right\| \\ & \leq \int_0^s \|(E(t-r) - E(s-r))\| \, dr \sup_{r \in [0, T]} (\|u(r)\| + \|u(r)\|_1^3) \\ & \leq K|t-s|^\gamma \int_0^s r^{-\gamma} \, dr \leq KT^{1-\gamma}|t-s|^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we note that $\|Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}} \leq C\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}} < \infty$ by (2.1) as $A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, so that we can use Lemma 3.2 with $\beta = 1$ to conclude the proof. \square

4. A PRIORI MOMENT BOUNDS

Our first result bounds the second moment of the Euler iterates in (1.2). The proof uses a kind of bootstrapping argument and as a result we avoid Gronwall's lemma. Therefore, we are able to obtain bounds that only grow linearly with T instead of exponentially. Since these bounds will be used in the Gronwall step in the pathwise convergence analysis, the constants appearing there will grow exponentially with time instead of double-exponentially. We have to use test functions in the energy arguments below that are different from the ones used in the deterministic setting, for example in [7], because of the presence of a non-differentiable right hand side. This ultimately forces the choice of a scheme implicit also in the drift in order to be able to use the one-sided Lipschitz property of f .

Proposition 4.1. *Let $I_N = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ and $T = N\Delta t$. If $\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}} < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}\|u_0\|_1^2 < \infty$, then there is $C > 0$ independent of T such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{l \in I_N} \|u^l\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \sup_{l \in I_N} \|u^l\|_1^2 \leq C(1 + T).$$

Proof. First note that it is enough to bound the second term on the left hand side since $\|\cdot\| \leq C\|\cdot\|_1$. Taking the inner product of (1.2) with u^j , we get

$$\langle u^j - u^{j-1}, u^j \rangle + \Delta t \|u^j\|_1^2 + \Delta t \langle f(u^j), u^j \rangle = \langle \Delta W^j, u^j \rangle.$$

Using the identity $\langle x - y, x \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2) + \frac{1}{2}\|x - y\|^2$ and the fact that for some $C > 0$ we have $sf(s) \geq -C$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\|u^j\|^2 - \|u^{j-1}\|^2) + \frac{1}{2}\|u^j - u^{j-1}\|^2 + \Delta t \|u^j\|_1^2 \\ & \leq C\Delta t + \langle \Delta W^j, u^j - u^{j-1} \rangle + \langle \Delta W^j, u^{j-1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using a kick back with the second term on the right and summing from 1 to n ($1 \leq n \leq N$) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u^n\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \|u^j - u^{j-1}\|^2 + \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^n \|u^j\|_1^2 \\ & \leq C \left(T + \|u_0\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n (\|\Delta W^j\|^2 + \langle \Delta W^j, u^{j-1} \rangle) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation, using that ΔW^j is Gaussian with covariance operator $\Delta t Q$ and hence $\mathbb{E}\|\Delta W^j\|^2 = \Delta t \operatorname{Tr} Q = \Delta t \|Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2$, and that $\mathbb{E} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \Delta W^j, u^{j-1} \rangle = 0$, we conclude

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\|u^n\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \|u^j - u^{j-1}\|^2 + \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^n \|u^j\|_1^2 \right) \\ & \leq C \left(T + \mathbb{E}\|u_0\|^2 + T\|Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we take the inner product of (1.2) with Au^j and obtain similarly as above

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\|u^j\|_1^2 - \|u^{j-1}\|_1^2) + \frac{1}{2}\|u^j - u^{j-1}\|_1^2 + \Delta t \|u^j\|_2^2 + \Delta t \langle f(u^j), u^j \rangle_1 \\ & = \langle \Delta W^j, u^j \rangle_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f'(s) \geq -C$, we have

$$\langle f(u^j), u^j \rangle_1 = \langle \nabla f(u^j), \nabla u^j \rangle = \langle f'(u^j) \nabla u^j, \nabla u^j \rangle \geq -C \|u^j\|_1^2.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\|u^j\|_1^2 - \|u^{j-1}\|_1^2) + \frac{1}{2}\|u^j - u^{j-1}\|_1^2 + \Delta t \|u^j\|_2^2 \\ & \leq \Delta t C \|u^j\|_1^2 + \langle \Delta W^j, u^j - u^{j-1} \rangle_1 + \langle \Delta W^j, u^{j-1} \rangle_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using a kick back with the second term, we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|u^l\|_1^2 + \sum_{j=1}^l \|u^j - u^{j-1}\|_1^2 + \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^l \|u^j\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \left(\|u_0\|_1^2 + \sum_{j=1}^l (\Delta t \|u^j\|_1^2 + \|\Delta W^j\|_1^2 + \langle \Delta W^j, u^{j-1} \rangle_1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{l \in I_N} \left(\|u^l\|_1^2 + \sum_{j=1}^l \|u^j - u^{j-1}\|_1^2 + \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^l \|u^j\|_2^2 \right) \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E}\|u_0\|_1^2 + C \mathbb{E} \sup_{l \in I_N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^l (\Delta t \|u^j\|_1^2 + \|\Delta W^j\|_1^2 + \langle \Delta W^j, u^{j-1} \rangle_1) \right) \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E}\|u_0\|_1^2 + C \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N (\Delta t \|u^j\|_1^2 + \|\Delta W^j\|_1^2) \right) + C \mathbb{E} \sup_{l \in I_N} \sum_{j=1}^l \langle \Delta W^j, u^{j-1} \rangle_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta W^j$ is a Gaussian random variable with covariance operator

$$\tilde{Q} := \Delta t A^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}})^*,$$

it follows, by (2.2), that

$$\mathbb{E}\|\Delta W^j\|_1^2 = \Delta t \operatorname{Tr} \tilde{Q} = \Delta t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2.$$

Next note that $\sum_{j=1}^l \langle \Delta W^j, u^{j-1} \rangle_1$ is an Itô integral the form $\int_0^{t_l} \langle \eta(t), dA^{\frac{1}{2}} W(t) \rangle$, where η is a piecewise continuous process, and hence also a martingale. Then, using

Hölder's inequality, the martingale inequality [6, Theorem 3.8], Itô's Isometry (2.5), (2.1), (2.2), and (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{l \in I_N} \sum_{j=1}^l \langle \Delta W^j, u^{j-1} \rangle_1 \right)^2 \leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{l \in I_N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^l \langle \Delta W^j, u^{j-1} \rangle_1 \right)^2 \\
& \leq 4 \sup_{l \in I_N} \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^l \langle \Delta W^j, u^{j-1} \rangle_1 \right)^2 = 4\mathbb{E}\Delta t \sum_{j=1}^N \|\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{j-1}\|^2 \\
& \leq 4\|\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{E}\|u^{j-1}\|_1^2 \leq 4\|\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{E}\|u^{j-1}\|_1^2 \\
& \leq C\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 (T + \mathbb{E}\|u_0\|^2 + T\|Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (4.3), using also (4.1), we conclude that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{l \in I_N} \left(\|u^l\|_1^2 + \sum_{j=1}^l \|u^j - u^{j-1}\|_1^2 + \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^l \|u^j\|_2^2 \right) \leq C(1 + T)$$

and the proof is complete. \square

When proving strong convergence, even without rate, one needs bounds on higher moments of the time discretization. This will be achieved via a discrete Gronwall inequality, resulting in a bound that grows exponentially with time. However, since our approach does not provide rates for the strong error, this is not a major drawback. Note also, that this result is the exact time-discrete analogue of the bounds on the solution from Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $p \geq 2$, $I_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $1 \leq n \leq N$, and $T = N\Delta t$. If $\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}} < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}\|u_0\|_1^p < \infty$, and $T^{p-1}\Delta t \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{l \in I_n} \|u^l\|^p + \mathbb{E} \sup_{l \in I_n} \|u^l\|_1^p \leq C(T, p, u_0).$$

Proof. As noted in the proof of the previous proposition it is enough to bound the second term on the left hand side. We start from (4.2) and take the p th power of both sides for $p \geq 1$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u^l\|_1^{2p} & \leq C \left(\|u_0\|_1^{2p} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^l \Delta t \|u^j\|_1^2 \right)^p \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(\sum_{j=1}^l \|\Delta W^j\|_1^2 \right)^p + \left(\sum_{j=1}^l \langle \Delta W^j, u^{j-1} \rangle_1 \right)^p \right) \\
& \leq C \left(\|u_0\|_1^{2p} + \Delta t^{p-1} l^{p-1} \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^l \|u^j\|_1^{2p} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + l^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^l \|\Delta W^j\|_1^{2p} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^l \langle \Delta W^j, u^{j-1} \rangle_1 \right)^p \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbb{E} \sup_{l \in I_n} \|u^l\|_1^{2p} \leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \|u_0\|_1^{2p} + T^{p-1} \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \sup_{l \in I_j} \|u^l\|_1^{2p} \right. \\ \left. + n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \|\Delta W^j\|_1^{2p} + \mathbb{E} \sup_{l \in I_n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^l \langle \Delta W^j, u^{j-1} \rangle_1 \right)^p \right).$$

Next, we bound the last two terms in (4.4). We already noted that $A^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta W^j$ is a Gaussian random variable with covariance operator $\tilde{Q} = \Delta t A^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}})^*$. Hence we use (2.2) and (2.7) to bound its $2p$ -th moment as

$$\mathbb{E} \|\Delta W^j\|_1^{2p} \leq C_p (\text{Tr } \tilde{Q})^p = C_p \Delta t^p \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^{2p}.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$(4.5) \quad n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \|\Delta W^j\|_1^{2p} \leq C_p n^{p-1} \Delta t^p \sum_{j=1}^n \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^{2p} \leq C_p T^p \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^{2p}.$$

For the last term in (4.4) we use the Burkholder-Davies-Gundy inequality (2.6), (2.1), and (2.2) to conclude that

$$(4.6) \quad \mathbb{E} \sup_{l \in I_n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^l \langle \Delta W^j, u^{j-1} \rangle_1 \right)^p \leq C_p \mathbb{E} \left(\Delta t \sum_{j=1}^n \|\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{j-1}\|^2 \right)^{p/2} \\ \leq C \|\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^p \Delta t^{p/2} n^{p/2-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \|u^{j-1}\|_1^p \\ \leq C \|\tilde{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^p T^{p/2-1} \Delta t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{l \in I_j} \|u^l\|_1^{2p} \right) \\ = C \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^p T^{p/2} + C \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^p T^{p/2-1} \Delta t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{l \in I_j} \|u^l\|_1^{2p} \right).$$

Finally, substituting (4.5) and (4.6) into (4.4) yields the desired bound by using the discrete Gronwall inequality. Before applying the discrete Gronwall inequality we kick back the last term from the sum $T^{p-1} \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \sup_{l \in I_j} \|u^l\|_1^{2p}$ in (4.4) using the condition $T^{p-1} \Delta t \leq \frac{1}{2}$. \square

5. THE CONVERGENCE RESULTS

We begin by showing a maximal type error estimate for the linear problem. Define the Backward Euler approximation of the stochastic convolution $W_A(t_n)$ by

$$W_A^n := \sum_{k=1}^n E^{n-k+1} \Delta W^k = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} E^{n-k+1} dW(s), \text{ where } E^n = (I + \Delta t A)^{-n}.$$

The following result has been proved in a larger generality for multiplicative noise in Banach spaces using heavy machinery in the range $0 \leq \beta < 1$. This would be enough for the purposes of the semilinear problem with additive noise. However, it is possible to obtain the range $0 \leq \beta \leq 2$ because the noise is additive and the approximation of the noise is exact at the mesh points. Since this result is

interesting on its own, and the proof presented here is rather elementary based on a discrete version of the factorization method, we present the result and the proof for the full range $0 \leq \beta \leq 2$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $p > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 2$, and $T = N\Delta t$. Then there is $C = C(p, \varepsilon, T)$ such that*

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t_n \in [0, T]} \|W_A(t_n) - W_A^n\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \Delta t^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2} + \varepsilon} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}, \quad t_n = n\Delta t.$$

Proof. Define the deterministic error operator F_n by $F_n = E(t_n) - E^n$. It is well known that the following error estimate holds

$$(5.1) \quad \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} F_n v\| \leq C \Delta t^{\frac{\beta}{2}} t_n^{-\frac{\beta-\gamma+\rho}{2}} \|A^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} v\|, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq \beta + \rho, \quad \rho, \gamma \geq 0, \quad \beta \in [0, 2].$$

Next, we consider the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} W_A(t_n) - W_A^n &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (E(t_n - \sigma) - E^{n-k+1}) dW(\sigma) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (E(t_n - \sigma) - E(t_{n-k+1})) dW(\sigma) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (E(t_{n-k+1}) - E^{n-k+1}) dW(\sigma) =: e_1^n + e_2^n. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate e_1 we first write

$$e_1^n = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} E(t_n - \sigma) (I - E(\sigma - t_{k-1})) dW(\sigma) = \int_0^{t_n} E(t_n - \sigma) \Psi(\sigma) dW(\sigma)$$

with $\Psi(\sigma) = (I - E(\sigma - t_{k-1}))$ for $\sigma \in (t_{k-1}, t_k]$. Next we use the factorization method from [6, Chapter 5] to write

$$\begin{aligned} e_1^n &= c_\alpha \int_0^{t_n} E(t_n - \sigma) \int_\sigma^{t_n} (t_n - s)^{-1+\alpha} (s - \sigma)^{-\alpha} ds dW(\sigma) \\ &= c_\alpha \int_0^{t_n} (t_n - s)^{-1+\alpha} E(t_n - s) \int_0^s (s - \sigma) \Psi(\sigma) E(s - \sigma) dW(\sigma) ds \\ &= c_\alpha \int_0^{t_n} (t_n - s)^{-1+\alpha} E(t_n - s) Y(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $c_\alpha^{-1} = \int_\sigma^t (t - s)^{-1+\alpha} (s - \sigma)^{-\alpha} ds$ and

$$Y(s) = \int_0^s (s - \sigma) \Psi(\sigma) E(s - \sigma) dW(\sigma).$$

Therefore, by Hölder's inequality and that $\|E(t)\| \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t_n \in [0, T]} \|e_2^n\|^p \leq c_\alpha \left(\int_0^T s^{(-1+\alpha)\frac{p}{p-1}} ds \right)^{p-1} \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \|Y(s)\|^p ds.$$

The first integral is finite for $p > \frac{1}{\alpha}$. To bound the second integral, notice that $Y(s)$ is a Gaussian random variable for all $s \in [0, T]$ and therefore, we use (2.7) to

bound its p -th moment, (2.1), (2.3) with $\beta = \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$, and (2.4) with $\beta = \gamma = 0$ and $\rho = \frac{\beta}{2}$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}\|Y(s)\|^p &\leq C_p \left(\int_0^s (s-\sigma)^{-2\alpha} \|\Psi(\sigma)E(s-\sigma)Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 d\sigma \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
 &= C_p \left(\int_0^s (s-\sigma)^{-2\alpha} \|\Psi(\sigma)A^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}E(s-\sigma)A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}+\varepsilon}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 d\sigma \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
 &\leq C_p \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}+\varepsilon}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^p \left(\int_0^s (s-\sigma)^{-2\alpha-1+2\varepsilon} \|\Psi(\sigma)A^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}\|^2 d\sigma \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
 &\leq C \Delta t^{\frac{\beta p}{2}} \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}+\varepsilon}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^p \left(\int_0^s (s-\sigma)^{-2\alpha-1+2\varepsilon} ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
 &\leq C_{T,p,\alpha,\varepsilon} \Delta t^{\frac{\beta p}{2}} \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}+\varepsilon}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^p,
 \end{aligned}$$

provided that $\alpha < \varepsilon$. Given $p > 1/\varepsilon$, we thus need to choose $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{p}, \varepsilon)$. We conclude

$$\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\|Y(s)\|^p ds \leq TC_{T,p,\alpha,\varepsilon} \Delta t^{\frac{\beta p}{2}} \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}+\varepsilon}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^p,$$

which proves the bound on e_1^n . To bound e_2^n we use a discrete version of the factorization method. First introduce the constants

$$c_{n,k} := \left(\Delta t \sum_{l=k}^n t_{n-l+1}^{-1+\alpha} t_{l-k+1}^{-\alpha} \right)^{-1}.$$

It is not difficult to see that $c_{n,k} \leq C$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_2^n &= \sum_{k=1}^n E(t_{n-k+1})c_{n,k} \left(\Delta t \sum_{l=k}^n t_{n-l+1}^{-1+\alpha} t_{l-k+1}^{-\alpha} \right) \Delta W^k \\
 &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^n E^{n-k+1}c_{n,k} \left(\Delta t \sum_{l=k}^n t_{n-l+1}^{-1+\alpha} t_{l-k+1}^{-\alpha} \right) \Delta W^k \\
 &= \Delta t \sum_{l=1}^n t_{n-l+1}^{-1+\alpha} E(t_{n-l}) \sum_{k=1}^l c_{n,k} t_{l-k+1}^{-\alpha} E(t_{l-k+1}) \Delta W^k \\
 &\quad - \Delta t \sum_{l=1}^n t_{n-l+1}^{-1+\alpha} E^{n-l} \sum_{k=1}^l c_{n,k} t_{l-k+1}^{-\alpha} E^{l-k+1} \Delta W^k \\
 &= \Delta t \sum_{l=1}^n t_{n-l+1}^{-1+\alpha} E(t_{n-l}) Y^l - \Delta t \sum_{l=1}^n t_{n-l+1}^{-1+\alpha} E^{n-l} \tilde{Y}^l \\
 &= \Delta t \sum_{l=1}^n t_{n-l+1}^{-1+\alpha} F_{n-l} Y^l + \Delta t \sum_{l=1}^n t_{n-l+1}^{-1+\alpha} E^{n-l} (Y^l - \tilde{Y}^l) =: e_{21}^n + e_{22}^n,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Y_l = \sum_{k=1}^l c_{n,k} t_{l-k+1}^{-\alpha} E(t_{l-k+1}) \Delta W^k, \quad \tilde{Y}_l = \sum_{k=1}^l c_{n,k} t_{l-k+1}^{-\alpha} E^{l-k+1} \Delta W^k.$$

Next, we bound e_{21}^n , by Hölder's inequality and (5.1) with $\rho = 0$ and $\gamma = \beta$, as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t_n \in [0, T]} \|e_{21}^n\|^p &\leq \left(\Delta t \sum_{l=1}^N (t_l^{-1+\alpha} \|F_l A^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}\|)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \Delta t \sum_{l=1}^N \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} Y^l\|^p \\ &\leq C \Delta t^{\frac{\beta p}{2}} \left(\Delta t \sum_{l=1}^n t_l^{(-1+\alpha)\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \Delta t \sum_{l=1}^N \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} Y^l\|^p, \end{aligned}$$

where the first sum is finite if $p > \frac{1}{\alpha}$. To estimate the last sum, note that $A^{\beta/2} Y^l$ is a Gaussian random variable and hence, as before, we use (2.7) to bound its p -th moment. Therefore, using also (2.1) and (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \Delta t \sum_{l=1}^N \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} Y^l\|^p &= \Delta t \sum_{l=1}^N \mathbb{E} \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^l c_{n,k} t_{l-k+1}^{-\alpha} A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} E(t_{l-k+1}) \Delta W^k \right) \right\|^p \\ &= \Delta t \sum_{l=1}^N \left(\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^l c_{n,k}^2 t_{l-k+1}^{-2\alpha} \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} E(t_{l-k+1}) Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq C \Delta t \sum_{l=1}^N \left(\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^N t_k^{-2\alpha} \|E(t_k) A^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}+\varepsilon} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq C T \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}+\varepsilon} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^p \left(\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^N t_k^{-1-2\alpha+2\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq C_{T,p,\alpha,\varepsilon} \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}+\varepsilon} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^p, \end{aligned}$$

provided that $\alpha < \varepsilon$. Finally, we estimate e_{22}^n . By Hölder's inequality we first get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t_n \in [0, T]} \|e_{22}^n\|^p &\leq \left(\Delta t \sum_{l=1}^N t_l^{-1+\alpha} \|E^l\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \sum_{l=1}^N \|Y(l) - \tilde{Y}(l)\|^p \\ &\leq \left(\Delta t \sum_{l=1}^N t_l^{(-1+\alpha)\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \sum_{l=1}^N \|Y(l) - \tilde{Y}(l)\|^p \leq C_{\alpha,p} \sum_{l=1}^N \|Y(l) - \tilde{Y}(l)\|^p, \end{aligned}$$

if $p > \frac{1}{\alpha}$. To estimate the last term, we use (2.7) to bound the p -th moment of a Gaussian random variable and also (2.1) and (5.1) with $\rho = 1 - 2\varepsilon$ and $\gamma = \beta$ to

get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l=1}^N \|Y(l) - \tilde{Y}(l)\|^p &= \sum_{l=1}^N \left\| \sum_{k=1}^l c_{n,k} t_{l-k+1}^{-\alpha} F_{l-k+1} \Delta W^k \right\|^p \\
&\leq C_p \sum_{l=1}^N \left(\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^N t_k^{-2\alpha} \|F_k Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&= C_p \sum_{l=1}^N \left(\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^N t_k^{-2\alpha} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} F_k A^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}+\varepsilon} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\leq C_p \Delta t^{\frac{\beta p}{2}} \left(\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^N t_k^{-1-2\alpha+2\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}+\varepsilon} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^p \\
&\leq C_{T,p,\alpha,\varepsilon} \Delta t^{\frac{\beta p}{2}} \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}+\varepsilon} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^p,
\end{aligned}$$

whenever $\alpha < \varepsilon$, which finishes the proof. \square

Next we state a Lipschitz estimate for $f(u)$. Here we use Sobolev's inequality and, similarly to (3.3), it is crucial that $d \leq 3$ and that the nonlinearity f is at most cubic. For a proof we refer to [14, Lemma 2.5].

Lemma 5.2. *For all $u, v \in \dot{H}^1$ we have*

$$\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f(u) - f(v))\| \leq C(\|u\|_1^2 + \|v\|_1^2)\|u - v\|.$$

We are now ready to state and prove the pathwise convergence of the Backward Euler scheme defined in (1.2).

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\|A^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}} < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}\|u_0\|_1^2 < \infty$, $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$, and $T = N\Delta t$. Then, there are finite random variables $K \geq 0$ and $\Delta t_0 > 0$ such that, almost surely,*

$$\sup_{t_n \in [0, T]} \|u(t_n) - u^n\| \leq K \Delta t^\gamma, \quad t_n = n\Delta t, \quad \Delta t \leq \Delta t_0.$$

Proof. Since the arguments are pathwise and hence basically deterministic, we omit standard details. Let $e^n = u(t_n) - u^n$ and $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$. We decompose the error, using the mild formulation of (1.2) and (3.2), as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
e^n &= (E(t_n)u_0 - E^n u_0) + (W_A(t_n) - W_A^n) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} E(t_n - s) f(u(s)) - E^{n-k+1} f(u^k) \, ds =: e_1^n + e_2^n + e_3^n.
\end{aligned}$$

By (5.1) we may estimate e_1 as

$$\|e_1^n\| \leq C \Delta t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_0\|_1.$$

For e_2^n , by Proposition 5.1 with $\beta = 2$, we have that

$$\|e_2^n\| \leq L \Delta t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}$$

almost surely for some finite nonnegative random variable L . Next, we can further decompose e_3 as

$$\begin{aligned} e_3^n &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} E^{n-k+1}(f(u(t_k)) - f(u^k)) \, ds \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (E(t_{n-k+1}) - E^{n-k+1})f(u(t_k)) \, ds \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} E(t_{n-k+1})(f(u(s)) - f(u(t_k))) \, ds \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (E(t_n - s) - E(t_{n-k+1}))f(u(s)) \, ds =: e_{31}^n + e_{32}^n + e_{33}^n + e_{34}^n. \end{aligned}$$

To bound e_{31}^n we use Propositions 3.1 and 4.1 together with Lemma 5.2 to conclude that for some finite nonnegative random variable L_1 we have, almost surely,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_{31}^n\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} A^{\frac{1}{2}} E^{n-k+1} A^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f(u(t_k)) - f(u^k)) \, ds \right\| \\ &\leq L_1 \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} t_k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|e^k\| \, ds = L_1 \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^n t_k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|e^k\|, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the well known fact that $\|A^{1/2} E^k\| \leq C t_k^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ (see, for example, [25, Lemma 7.3]). Next we use Proposition 3.1, Lemma 5.2, and (5.1) with $\gamma = 0$, $\rho = 1$ and $\beta = 2\gamma$ to estimate e_{32}^n as

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_{32}^n\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} A^{\frac{1}{2}} (E(t_{n-k+1}) - E^{n-k+1}) A^{-\frac{1}{2}} f(u(t_k)) \, ds \right\| \\ &\leq \Delta t^\gamma L_2 \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} t_k^{-\frac{1}{2}-\gamma} \, ds = \Delta t^\gamma L_2 \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^n t_k^{-\frac{1}{2}-\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for some finite nonnegative random variable L_2 . For e_{33}^n we use the Hölder continuity of u from Proposition 3.3 together with Proposition 3.1, Lemma 5.2, and (2.3) with $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_{33}^n\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} A^{\frac{1}{2}} E(t_{n-k+1}) A^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f(u(s)) - f(u(t_k))) \, ds \right\| \\ &\leq \Delta t^\gamma L_3 \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} t_k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \, ds = \Delta t^\gamma L_3 \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^n t_k^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for some finite nonnegative random variable L_3 . Finally, by Proposition 3.1, Lemma 5.2 and (2.4) with $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\rho = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_{34}^n\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} A^{\frac{1}{2}} (E(t_n - s) - E(t_{n-k+1})) A^{-\frac{1}{2}} f(u(s)) \, ds \right\| \\ &\leq \Delta t^\gamma L_4 \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} t_k^{-\frac{1}{2}-\gamma} \, ds = \Delta t^\gamma L_4 \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^n t_k^{-\frac{1}{2}-\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for some finite nonnegative random variable L_2 . Putting together the estimates and using a generalized discrete Gronwall lemma [7, Lemma 7.1] finishes the proof. \square

Finally, we show strong convergence in L^p , albeit without rate.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $p \geq 1$, and $N\Delta t = T$. If $\|A^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}} < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}\|u_0\|_1^{2p} < \infty$, then*

$$\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t_n \in [0, T]} \|u(t_n) - u^n\|^p = 0, \quad t_n = n\Delta t.$$

Proof. Let

$$Y_N := \sup_{t_n \in [0, T]} \|u(t_n) - u^n\|^p.$$

By Theorem 5.3 it follows that $Y_N \rightarrow 0$ almost surely, and hence in probability, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. By Propositions 3.1 and 4.2, there is $M > 0$ such that, for $T^{2p-1}\Delta t \leq \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\mathbb{E} Y_N^2 \leq C \mathbb{E} \sup_{t_n \in [0, T]} (\|u(t_n)\|^{2p} + \|u^n\|^{2p}) \leq M.$$

Therefore, it follows that $\{Y_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly integrable. Being convergent in probability and uniformly integrable, it converges in L^1 ; that is,

$$\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t_n \in [0, T]} \|u(t_n) - u^n\|^p = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} Y_N = 0,$$

see [13, Proposition 3.12]. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Blömker and A. Jentzen, *Galerkin approximations for the stochastic Burgers equation*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **51** (2013), 694–715.
- [2] D. Blömker, M. Kamrani, and S. M. Hosseini, *Full discretization of the stochastic Burgers equation with correlated noise*, IMA J. Numer. Anal. **33** (2013), 825–848.
- [3] P.A. Cioca, S. Dahlke, N. Döhning, U. Friedrich, S. Kinzel, F. Lindner, T. Raasch, K. Ritter, and R. Schilling, *On the convergence analysis of Rothe’s method*, Preprint Nr. 124, DFG-Schwerpunktprogramm 1324 “Extraktion Quantifizierbarer Information aus Komplexen Systemen” (2012).
- [4] S. Cox and J. van Neerven, *Pathwise Hölder convergence of the implicit-linear Euler scheme for semi-linear SPDEs with multiplicative noise*, Numer. Math. **125** (2013), 259–345.
- [5] G. Da Prato and A. Debussche, *Stochastic Cahn-Hilliard equation*, Nonlinear Anal. **26** (1996), 241–263.
- [6] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [7] C. M. Elliott and S. Larsson, *Error estimates with smooth and nonsmooth data for a finite element method for the Cahn-Hilliard equation*, Math. Comp. **58** (1992), 603–630, S33–S36.
- [8] I. Gyöngy and A. Millet, *On discretization schemes for stochastic evolution equations*, Potential Anal. **23** (2005), 99–134.
- [9] E. Hausenblas, *Numerical analysis of semilinear stochastic evolution equations in Banach spaces*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **147** (2002), 485–516.
- [10] ———, *Approximation for semilinear stochastic evolution equations*, Potential Anal. **18** (2003), 141–186.
- [11] D. J. Higham, X. Mao, and A. M. Stuart, *Strong convergence of Euler-type methods for nonlinear stochastic differential equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **40** (2002), 1041–1063 (electronic).
- [12] A. Jentzen, *Pathwise numerical approximation of SPDEs with additive noise under non-global Lipschitz coefficients*, Potential Anal. **31** (2009), 375–404.

- [13] O. Kallenberg, *Foundations of Modern Probability*, Springer series in statistics, Probability and its applications, Springer Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg, 1997.
- [14] M. Kovács, S. Larsson, and A. Mesforush, *Finite element approximation of the Cahn-Hilliard-Cook equation*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **49** (2011), 2407–2429.
- [15] M. Kovács, S. Larsson, and K. Urban, *On Wavelet-Galerkin methods for semilinear parabolic equations with additive noise*, Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods 2012 (J. Dick, F. Y. Kuo, G. W. Peters, and I. H. Sloan, eds.), Springer-Verlag, 2014, pp. 459–476, to appear, [arXiv:1208.0433](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.0433) [[math.NA](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.0433)].
- [16] H. Kunita, *Stochastic Flows and Stochastic Differential Equations*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 24, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [17] D. Liu, *Convergence of the spectral method for stochastic Ginzburg-Landau equation driven by space-time white noise*, Commun. Math. Sci. **1** (2003), 361–375.
- [18] W. Liu, *Invariance of subspaces under the solution flow of SPDE*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **13** (2010), 87–98.
- [19] ———, *Well-posedness of stochastic partial differential equations with Lyapunov condition*, J. Differential Equations **255** (2013), 572–592.
- [20] W. Liu and M. Röckner, *SPDE in Hilbert space with locally monotone coefficients*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), 2902–2922.
- [21] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 44, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [22] C. Prévôt and M. Röckner, *A Concise Course on Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1905, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [23] J. Printems, *On the discretization in time of parabolic stochastic partial differential equations*, M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal. **35** (2001), 1055–1078.
- [24] M. Sauer and W. Stannat, *Lattice approximation for stochastic reaction diffusion equations with one-sided Lipschitz condition*, [arXiv:1301.6350](https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.6350) [[math.PR](https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.6350)].
- [25] V. Thomée, *Galerkin finite element methods for parabolic problems*, second ed., Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, vol. 25, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF OTAGO, P.O. BOX 56, DUNEDIN, NEW ZEALAND

E-mail address: mkovacs@maths.otago.ac.nz

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG, SE-412 96 GOTHENBURG, SWEDEN

E-mail address: stig@chalmers.se

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG, SE-412 96 GOTHENBURG, SWEDEN

E-mail address: fredrik.lindgren@chalmers.se

Preprint Series DFG-SPP 1324

<http://www.dfg-spp1324.de>

Reports

- [1] R. Ramlau, G. Teschke, and M. Zhariy. A Compressive Landweber Iteration for Solving Ill-Posed Inverse Problems. Preprint 1, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2008.
- [2] G. Plonka. The Easy Path Wavelet Transform: A New Adaptive Wavelet Transform for Sparse Representation of Two-dimensional Data. Preprint 2, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2008.
- [3] E. Novak and H. Woźniakowski. Optimal Order of Convergence and (In-) Tractability of Multivariate Approximation of Smooth Functions. Preprint 3, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2008.
- [4] M. Espig, L. Grasedyck, and W. Hackbusch. Black Box Low Tensor Rank Approximation Using Fibre-Crosses. Preprint 4, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2008.
- [5] T. Bonesky, S. Dahlke, P. Maass, and T. Raasch. Adaptive Wavelet Methods and Sparsity Reconstruction for Inverse Heat Conduction Problems. Preprint 5, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2009.
- [6] E. Novak and H. Woźniakowski. Approximation of Infinitely Differentiable Multivariate Functions Is Intractable. Preprint 6, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2009.
- [7] J. Ma and G. Plonka. A Review of Curvelets and Recent Applications. Preprint 7, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2009.
- [8] L. Denis, D. A. Lorenz, and D. Tiede. Greedy Solution of Ill-Posed Problems: Error Bounds and Exact Inversion. Preprint 8, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.
- [9] U. Friedrich. A Two Parameter Generalization of Lions' Nonoverlapping Domain Decomposition Method for Linear Elliptic PDEs. Preprint 9, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.
- [10] K. Bredies and D. A. Lorenz. Minimization of Non-smooth, Non-convex Functionals by Iterative Thresholding. Preprint 10, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.
- [11] K. Bredies and D. A. Lorenz. Regularization with Non-convex Separable Constraints. Preprint 11, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.

- [12] M. Döhler, S. Kunis, and D. Potts. Nonequispaced Hyperbolic Cross Fast Fourier Transform. Preprint 12, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.
- [13] C. Bender. Dual Pricing of Multi-Exercise Options under Volume Constraints. Preprint 13, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.
- [14] T. Müller-Gronbach and K. Ritter. Variable Subspace Sampling and Multi-level Algorithms. Preprint 14, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2009.
- [15] G. Plonka, S. Tenorth, and A. Iske. Optimally Sparse Image Representation by the Easy Path Wavelet Transform. Preprint 15, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2009.
- [16] S. Dahlke, E. Novak, and W. Sickel. Optimal Approximation of Elliptic Problems by Linear and Nonlinear Mappings IV: Errors in L_2 and Other Norms. Preprint 16, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2009.
- [17] B. Jin, T. Khan, P. Maass, and M. Pidcock. Function Spaces and Optimal Currents in Impedance Tomography. Preprint 17, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2009.
- [18] G. Plonka and J. Ma. Curvelet-Wavelet Regularized Split Bregman Iteration for Compressed Sensing. Preprint 18, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2009.
- [19] G. Teschke and C. Borries. Accelerated Projected Steepest Descent Method for Nonlinear Inverse Problems with Sparsity Constraints. Preprint 19, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2009.
- [20] L. Grasedyck. Hierarchical Singular Value Decomposition of Tensors. Preprint 20, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2009.
- [21] D. Rudolf. Error Bounds for Computing the Expectation by Markov Chain Monte Carlo. Preprint 21, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2009.
- [22] M. Hansen and W. Sickel. Best m -term Approximation and Lizorkin-Triebel Spaces. Preprint 22, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2009.
- [23] F.J. Hickernell, T. Müller-Gronbach, B. Niu, and K. Ritter. Multi-level Monte Carlo Algorithms for Infinite-dimensional Integration on \mathbb{R}^N . Preprint 23, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2009.
- [24] S. Dereich and F. Heidenreich. A Multilevel Monte Carlo Algorithm for Lévy Driven Stochastic Differential Equations. Preprint 24, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2009.
- [25] S. Dahlke, M. Fornasier, and T. Raasch. Multilevel Preconditioning for Adaptive Sparse Optimization. Preprint 25, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2009.

- [26] S. Dereich. Multilevel Monte Carlo Algorithms for Lévy-driven SDEs with Gaussian Correction. Preprint 26, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2009.
- [27] G. Plonka, S. Tenorth, and D. Roşca. A New Hybrid Method for Image Approximation using the Easy Path Wavelet Transform. Preprint 27, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2009.
- [28] O. Koch and C. Lubich. Dynamical Low-rank Approximation of Tensors. Preprint 28, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.
- [29] E. Faou, V. Gradinaru, and C. Lubich. Computing Semi-classical Quantum Dynamics with Hagedorn Wavepackets. Preprint 29, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.
- [30] D. Conte and C. Lubich. An Error Analysis of the Multi-configuration Time-dependent Hartree Method of Quantum Dynamics. Preprint 30, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.
- [31] C. E. Powell and E. Ullmann. Preconditioning Stochastic Galerkin Saddle Point Problems. Preprint 31, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.
- [32] O. G. Ernst and E. Ullmann. Stochastic Galerkin Matrices. Preprint 32, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.
- [33] F. Lindner and R. L. Schilling. Weak Order for the Discretization of the Stochastic Heat Equation Driven by Impulsive Noise. Preprint 33, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.
- [34] L. Kämmerer and S. Kunis. On the Stability of the Hyperbolic Cross Discrete Fourier Transform. Preprint 34, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2009.
- [35] P. Cerejeiras, M. Ferreira, U. Kähler, and G. Teschke. Inversion of the noisy Radon transform on $SO(3)$ by Gabor frames and sparse recovery principles. Preprint 35, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2010.
- [36] T. Jahnke and T. Udrescu. Solving Chemical Master Equations by Adaptive Wavelet Compression. Preprint 36, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2010.
- [37] P. Kittipoom, G. Kutyniok, and W.-Q. Lim. Irregular Shearlet Frames: Geometry and Approximation Properties. Preprint 37, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2010.
- [38] G. Kutyniok and W.-Q. Lim. Compactly Supported Shearlets are Optimally Sparse. Preprint 38, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2010.

- [39] M. Hansen and W. Sickel. Best m -Term Approximation and Tensor Products of Sobolev and Besov Spaces – the Case of Non-compact Embeddings. Preprint 39, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2010.
- [40] B. Niu, F.J. Hickernell, T. Müller-Gronbach, and K. Ritter. Deterministic Multi-level Algorithms for Infinite-dimensional Integration on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Preprint 40, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2010.
- [41] P. Kittipoom, G. Kutyniok, and W.-Q. Lim. Construction of Compactly Supported Shearlet Frames. Preprint 41, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2010.
- [42] C. Bender and J. Steiner. Error Criteria for Numerical Solutions of Backward SDEs. Preprint 42, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2010.
- [43] L. Grasedyck. Polynomial Approximation in Hierarchical Tucker Format by Vector-Tensorization. Preprint 43, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2010.
- [44] M. Hansen und W. Sickel. Best m -Term Approximation and Sobolev-Besov Spaces of Dominating Mixed Smoothness - the Case of Compact Embeddings. Preprint 44, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2010.
- [45] P. Binev, W. Dahmen, and P. Lamby. Fast High-Dimensional Approximation with Sparse Occupancy Trees. Preprint 45, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2010.
- [46] J. Ballani and L. Grasedyck. A Projection Method to Solve Linear Systems in Tensor Format. Preprint 46, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2010.
- [47] P. Binev, A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, R. DeVore, G. Petrova, and P. Wojtaszczyk. Convergence Rates for Greedy Algorithms in Reduced Basis Methods. Preprint 47, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2010.
- [48] S. Kestler and K. Urban. Adaptive Wavelet Methods on Unbounded Domains. Preprint 48, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2010.
- [49] H. Yserentant. The Mixed Regularity of Electronic Wave Functions Multiplied by Explicit Correlation Factors. Preprint 49, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2010.
- [50] H. Yserentant. On the Complexity of the Electronic Schrödinger Equation. Preprint 50, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2010.
- [51] M. Guillemard and A. Iske. Curvature Analysis of Frequency Modulated Manifolds in Dimensionality Reduction. Preprint 51, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2010.
- [52] E. Herrholz and G. Teschke. Compressive Sensing Principles and Iterative Sparse Recovery for Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems. Preprint 52, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.

- [53] L. Kämmerer, S. Kunis, and D. Potts. Interpolation Lattices for Hyperbolic Cross Trigonometric Polynomials. Preprint 53, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.
- [54] G. Kutyniok and W.-Q Lim. Shearlets on Bounded Domains. Preprint 54, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.
- [55] A. Zeiser. Wavelet Approximation in Weighted Sobolev Spaces of Mixed Order with Applications to the Electronic Schrödinger Equation. Preprint 55, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.
- [56] G. Kutyniok, J. Lemvig, and W.-Q Lim. Compactly Supported Shearlets. Preprint 56, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.
- [57] A. Zeiser. On the Optimality of the Inexact Inverse Iteration Coupled with Adaptive Finite Element Methods. Preprint 57, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.
- [58] S. Jokar. Sparse Recovery and Kronecker Products. Preprint 58, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2010.
- [59] T. Aboiyar, E. H. Georgoulis, and A. Iske. Adaptive ADER Methods Using Kernel-Based Polyharmonic Spline WENO Reconstruction. Preprint 59, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2010.
- [60] O. G. Ernst, A. Mugler, H.-J. Starkloff, and E. Ullmann. On the Convergence of Generalized Polynomial Chaos Expansions. Preprint 60, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2010.
- [61] S. Holtz, T. Rohwedder, and R. Schneider. On Manifolds of Tensors of Fixed TT-Rank. Preprint 61, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2010.
- [62] J. Ballani, L. Grasedyck, and M. Kluge. Black Box Approximation of Tensors in Hierarchical Tucker Format. Preprint 62, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2010.
- [63] M. Hansen. On Tensor Products of Quasi-Banach Spaces. Preprint 63, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2010.
- [64] S. Dahlke, G. Steidl, and G. Teschke. Shearlet Coorbit Spaces: Compactly Supported Analyzing Shearlets, Traces and Embeddings. Preprint 64, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2010.
- [65] W. Hackbusch. Tensorisation of Vectors and their Efficient Convolution. Preprint 65, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2010.
- [66] P. A. Cioica, S. Dahlke, S. Kinzel, F. Lindner, T. Raasch, K. Ritter, and R. L. Schilling. Spatial Besov Regularity for Stochastic Partial Differential Equations on Lipschitz Domains. Preprint 66, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2010.

- [67] E. Novak and H. Woźniakowski. On the Power of Function Values for the Approximation Problem in Various Settings. Preprint 67, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2010.
- [68] A. Hinrichs, E. Novak, and H. Woźniakowski. The Curse of Dimensionality for Monotone and Convex Functions of Many Variables. Preprint 68, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2010.
- [69] G. Kutyniok and W.-Q. Lim. Image Separation Using Shearlets. Preprint 69, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2010.
- [70] B. Jin and P. Maass. An Analysis of Electrical Impedance Tomography with Applications to Tikhonov Regularization. Preprint 70, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.
- [71] S. Holtz, T. Rohwedder, and R. Schneider. The Alternating Linear Scheme for Tensor Optimisation in the TT Format. Preprint 71, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.
- [72] T. Müller-Gronbach and K. Ritter. A Local Refinement Strategy for Constructive Quantization of Scalar SDEs. Preprint 72, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.
- [73] T. Rohwedder and R. Schneider. An Analysis for the DIIS Acceleration Method used in Quantum Chemistry Calculations. Preprint 73, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.
- [74] C. Bender and J. Steiner. Least-Squares Monte Carlo for Backward SDEs. Preprint 74, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.
- [75] C. Bender. Primal and Dual Pricing of Multiple Exercise Options in Continuous Time. Preprint 75, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.
- [76] H. Harbrecht, M. Peters, and R. Schneider. On the Low-rank Approximation by the Pivoted Cholesky Decomposition. Preprint 76, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.
- [77] P. A. Cioica, S. Dahlke, N. Döhring, S. Kinzel, F. Lindner, T. Raasch, K. Ritter, and R. L. Schilling. Adaptive Wavelet Methods for Elliptic Stochastic Partial Differential Equations. Preprint 77, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [78] G. Plonka, S. Tenorth, and A. Iske. Optimal Representation of Piecewise Hölder Smooth Bivariate Functions by the Easy Path Wavelet Transform. Preprint 78, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.

- [79] A. Mugler and H.-J. Starkloff. On Elliptic Partial Differential Equations with Random Coefficients. Preprint 79, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [80] T. Müller-Gronbach, K. Ritter, and L. Yaroslavtseva. A Derandomization of the Euler Scheme for Scalar Stochastic Differential Equations. Preprint 80, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [81] W. Dahmen, C. Huang, C. Schwab, and G. Welper. Adaptive Petrov-Galerkin methods for first order transport equations. Preprint 81, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [82] K. Grella and C. Schwab. Sparse Tensor Spherical Harmonics Approximation in Radiative Transfer. Preprint 82, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [83] D.A. Lorenz, S. Schiffler, and D. Trede. Beyond Convergence Rates: Exact Inversion With Tikhonov Regularization With Sparsity Constraints. Preprint 83, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [84] S. Dereich, M. Scheutzow, and R. Schottstedt. Constructive quantization: Approximation by empirical measures. Preprint 84, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [85] S. Dahlke and W. Sickel. On Besov Regularity of Solutions to Nonlinear Elliptic Partial Differential Equations. Preprint 85, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [86] S. Dahlke, U. Friedrich, P. Maass, T. Raasch, and R.A. Ressel. An adaptive wavelet method for parameter identification problems in parabolic partial differential equations. Preprint 86, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [87] A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, and G. Welper. Adaptivity and Variational Stabilization for Convection-Diffusion Equations. Preprint 87, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [88] T. Jahnke. On Reduced Models for the Chemical Master Equation. Preprint 88, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [89] P. Binev, W. Dahmen, R. DeVore, P. Lamby, D. Savu, and R. Sharpley. Compressed Sensing and Electron Microscopy. Preprint 89, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2011.
- [90] P. Binev, F. Blanco-Silva, D. Blom, W. Dahmen, P. Lamby, R. Sharpley, and T. Vogt. High Quality Image Formation by Nonlocal Means Applied to High-Angle Annular Dark Field Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy (HAADF-STEM). Preprint 90, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2011.
- [91] R. A. Ressel. A Parameter Identification Problem for a Nonlinear Parabolic Differential Equation. Preprint 91, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2011.

- [92] G. Kutyniok. Data Separation by Sparse Representations. Preprint 92, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2011.
- [93] M. A. Davenport, M. F. Duarte, Y. C. Eldar, and G. Kutyniok. Introduction to Compressed Sensing. Preprint 93, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2011.
- [94] H.-C. Kreuzler and H. Yserentant. The Mixed Regularity of Electronic Wave Functions in Fractional Order and Weighted Sobolev Spaces. Preprint 94, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2011.
- [95] E. Ullmann, H. C. Elman, and O. G. Ernst. Efficient Iterative Solvers for Stochastic Galerkin Discretizations of Log-Transformed Random Diffusion Problems. Preprint 95, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2011.
- [96] S. Kunis and I. Melzer. On the Butterfly Sparse Fourier Transform. Preprint 96, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2011.
- [97] T. Rohwedder. The Continuous Coupled Cluster Formulation for the Electronic Schrödinger Equation. Preprint 97, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2011.
- [98] T. Rohwedder and R. Schneider. Error Estimates for the Coupled Cluster Method. Preprint 98, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2011.
- [99] P. A. Cioica and S. Dahlke. Spatial Besov Regularity for Semilinear Stochastic Partial Differential Equations on Bounded Lipschitz Domains. Preprint 99, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2011.
- [100] L. Grasedyck and W. Hackbusch. An Introduction to Hierarchical (H-) Rank and TT-Rank of Tensors with Examples. Preprint 100, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2011.
- [101] N. Chegini, S. Dahlke, U. Friedrich, and R. Stevenson. Piecewise Tensor Product Wavelet Bases by Extensions and Approximation Rates. Preprint 101, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.
- [102] S. Dahlke, P. Oswald, and T. Raasch. A Note on Quarkonial Systems and Multi-level Partition of Unity Methods. Preprint 102, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.
- [103] A. Uschmajew. Local Convergence of the Alternating Least Squares Algorithm For Canonical Tensor Approximation. Preprint 103, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.
- [104] S. Kvaal. Multiconfigurational time-dependent Hartree method for describing particle loss due to absorbing boundary conditions. Preprint 104, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.

- [105] M. Guillemard and A. Iske. On Groupoid C^* -Algebras, Persistent Homology and Time-Frequency Analysis. Preprint 105, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.
- [106] A. Hinrichs, E. Novak, and H. Woźniakowski. Discontinuous information in the worst case and randomized settings. Preprint 106, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.
- [107] M. Espig, W. Hackbusch, A. Litvinenko, H. Matthies, and E. Zander. Efficient Analysis of High Dimensional Data in Tensor Formats. Preprint 107, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.
- [108] M. Espig, W. Hackbusch, S. Handschuh, and R. Schneider. Optimization Problems in Contracted Tensor Networks. Preprint 108, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2011.
- [109] S. Dereich, T. Müller-Gronbach, and K. Ritter. On the Complexity of Computing Quadrature Formulas for SDEs. Preprint 109, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2011.
- [110] D. Belomestny. Solving optimal stopping problems by empirical dual optimization and penalization. Preprint 110, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2011.
- [111] D. Belomestny and J. Schoenmakers. Multilevel dual approach for pricing American style derivatives. Preprint 111, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2011.
- [112] T. Rohwedder and A. Uschmajew. Local convergence of alternating schemes for optimization of convex problems in the TT format. Preprint 112, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2011.
- [113] T. Görner, R. Hielscher, and S. Kunis. Efficient and accurate computation of spherical mean values at scattered center points. Preprint 113, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2011.
- [114] Y. Dong, T. Görner, and S. Kunis. An iterative reconstruction scheme for photoacoustic imaging. Preprint 114, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2011.
- [115] L. Kämmerer. Reconstructing hyperbolic cross trigonometric polynomials by sampling along generated sets. Preprint 115, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2012.
- [116] H. Chen and R. Schneider. Numerical analysis of augmented plane waves methods for full-potential electronic structure calculations. Preprint 116, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2012.
- [117] J. Ma, G. Plonka, and M.Y. Hussaini. Compressive Video Sampling with Approximate Message Passing Decoding. Preprint 117, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2012.

- [118] D. Heinen and G. Plonka. Wavelet shrinkage on paths for scattered data denoising. Preprint 118, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2012.
- [119] T. Jahnke and M. Kreim. Error bound for piecewise deterministic processes modeling stochastic reaction systems. Preprint 119, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2012.
- [120] C. Bender and J. Steiner. A-posteriori estimates for backward SDEs. Preprint 120, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2012.
- [121] M. Espig, W. Hackbusch, A. Litvinenkoy, H.G. Matthiesy, and P. Wähnert. Efficient low-rank approximation of the stochastic Galerkin matrix in tensor formats. Preprint 121, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2012.
- [122] O. Bokanowski, J. Garcke, M. Griebel, and I. Klompmaker. An adaptive sparse grid semi-Lagrangian scheme for first order Hamilton-Jacobi Bellman equations. Preprint 122, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2012.
- [123] A. Mugler and H.-J. Starkloff. On the convergence of the stochastic Galerkin method for random elliptic partial differential equations. Preprint 123, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2012.
- [124] P.A. Cioica, S. Dahlke, N. Döhring, U. Friedrich, S. Kinzel, F. Lindner, T. Raasch, K. Ritter, and R.L. Schilling. On the convergence analysis of Rothe's method. Preprint 124, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2012.
- [125] P. Binev, A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, and R. DeVore. Classification Algorithms using Adaptive Partitioning. Preprint 125, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2012.
- [126] C. Lubich, T. Rohwedder, R. Schneider, and B. Vandereycken. Dynamical approximation of hierarchical Tucker and Tensor-Train tensors. Preprint 126, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2012.
- [127] M. Kovács, S. Larsson, and K. Urban. On Wavelet-Galerkin methods for semilinear parabolic equations with additive noise. Preprint 127, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2012.
- [128] M. Bachmayr, H. Chen, and R. Schneider. Numerical analysis of Gaussian approximations in quantum chemistry. Preprint 128, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2012.
- [129] D. Rudolf. Explicit error bounds for Markov chain Monte Carlo. Preprint 129, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2012.
- [130] P.A. Cioica, K.-H. Kim, K. Lee, and F. Lindner. On the $L_q(L_p)$ -regularity and Besov smoothness of stochastic parabolic equations on bounded Lipschitz domains. Preprint 130, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2012.

- [131] M. Hansen. n -term Approximation Rates and Besov Regularity for Elliptic PDEs on Polyhedral Domains. Preprint 131, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2012.
- [132] R. E. Bank and H. Yserentant. On the H^1 -stability of the L_2 -projection onto finite element spaces. Preprint 132, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2012.
- [133] M. Gnewuch, S. Mayer, and K. Ritter. On Weighted Hilbert Spaces and Integration of Functions of Infinitely Many Variables. Preprint 133, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2012.
- [134] D. Crisan, J. Diehl, P.K. Friz, and H. Oberhauser. Robust Filtering: Correlated Noise and Multidimensional Observation. Preprint 134, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2013.
- [135] Wolfgang Dahmen, Christian Plesken, and Gerrit Welper. Double Greedy Algorithms: Reduced Basis Methods for Transport Dominated Problems. Preprint 135, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2013.
- [136] Aicke Hinrichs, Erich Novak, Mario Ullrich, and Henryk Wozniakowski. The Curse of Dimensionality for Numerical Integration of Smooth Functions. Preprint 136, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2013.
- [137] Markus Bachmayr, Wolfgang Dahmen, Ronald DeVore, and Lars Grasedyck. Approximation of High-Dimensional Rank One Tensors. Preprint 137, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2013.
- [138] Markus Bachmayr and Wolfgang Dahmen. Adaptive Near-Optimal Rank Tensor Approximation for High-Dimensional Operator Equations. Preprint 138, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2013.
- [139] Felix Lindner. Singular Behavior of the Solution to the Stochastic Heat Equation on a Polygonal Domain. Preprint 139, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2013.
- [140] Stephan Dahlke, Dominik Lellek, Shiu Hong Lui, and Rob Stevenson. Adaptive Wavelet Schwarz Methods for the Navier-Stokes Equation. Preprint 140, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2013.
- [141] Jonas Ballani and Lars Grasedyck. Tree Adaptive Approximation in the Hierarchical Tensor Format. Preprint 141, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2013.
- [142] Harry Yserentant. A short theory of the Rayleigh-Ritz method. Preprint 142, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2013.
- [143] M. Hefter and K. Ritter. On Embeddings of Weighted Tensor Product Hilbert Spaces. Preprint 143, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2013.

- [144] M. Altmayer and A. Neuenkirch. Multilevel Monte Carlo Quadrature of Discontinuous Payoffs in the Generalized Heston Model using Malliavin Integration by Parts. Preprint 144, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2013.
- [145] L. Kämmerer, D. Potts, and T. Volkmer. Approximation of multivariate functions by trigonometric polynomials based on rank-1 lattice sampling. Preprint 145, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2013.
- [146] C. Bender, N. Schweizer, and J. Zhuo. A primal-dual algorithm for BSDEs. Preprint 146, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2013.
- [147] D. Rudolf. Hit-and-run for numerical integration. Preprint 147, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2013.
- [148] D. Rudolf and M. Ullrich. Positivity of hit-and-run and related algorithms. Preprint 148, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2013.
- [149] L. Grasedyck, M. Kluge, and S. Krämer. Alternating Directions Fitting (ADF) of Hierarchical Low Rank Tensors. Preprint 149, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2013.
- [150] F. Filbir, S. Kunis, and R. Seyfried. Effective discretization of direct reconstruction schemes for photoacoustic imaging in spherical geometries. Preprint 150, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2013.
- [151] E. Novak, M. Ullrich, and H. Woźniakowski. Complexity of Oscillatory Integration for Univariate Sobolev Spaces. Preprint 151, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2013.
- [152] A. Hinrichs, E. Novak, and M. Ullrich. A Tractability Result for the Clenshaw Curtis Smolyak Algorithm. Preprint 152, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2013.
- [153] M. Hein, S. Setzer, L. Jost, and S. Rangapuram. The Total Variation on Hypergraphs - Learning on Hypergraphs Revisited. Preprint 153, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2013.
- [154] M. Kovács, S. Larsson, and F. Lindgren. On the Backward Euler Approximation of the Stochastic Allen-Chan Equation. Preprint 154, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2013.